PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: New River Correctional Institution Facility Type: Prison / Jail Date Interim Report Submitted: NA Date Final Report Submitted: 09/03/2019

Auditor Certification		
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.		
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.		
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.		
Auditor Full Name as Signed: Kenya Golden Date of Signature: 09/0		3/2019

AUDITOR INFORMATION	
Auditor name:	Golden, Kenya
Address:	
Email:	kgoldenassociates@gmail.com
Telephone number:	
Start Date of On-Site Audit:	2019-05-01
End Date of On-Site Audit:	2019-05-03

FACILITY INFORMAT	FACILITY INFORMATION		
Facility name:	New River Correctional Institution		
Facility physical address:	8000 NW 80th Place, Raiford, Florida - 32083		
Facility Phone	904-368-1500		
Facility mailing address:	P.O. Box 900, Raiford, Florida - 32083		

Primary Contact	
Name:	Joseph Edwards
Email Address:	Joseph.edwards@fdc.myflorida.com
Telephone Number:	904-368-1432

Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director	
Name:	Joseph Edwards
Email Address:	joseph.edwards@fdc.myflorida.com
Telephone Number:	904-368-1432

Facility PREA Compliance Manager		
Name:		
Email Address:		
Telephone Number:		
Name:	Eric Lane	
Email Address:	Eric.Lane@fdc.myflorida.com	
Telephone Number:	M: (904) 368-1441	
Name:	Stephanie Bivins	
Email Address:	Stephanie.Bivins@fdc.myflorida.com	
Telephone Number:	M: (904) 368-1467	

Facility Health Service Administrator On-site	
Name:	Tammy Macey
Email Address:	tmacey@CenturionofFL.com
Telephone Number:	904-368-1488

Facility Characteristics		
Designed facility capacity:	1340	
Current population of facility:	1323	
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	1264	
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	No	
Which population(s) does the facility hold?		
Age range of population:	42	
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:	Close Custody	
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?	No	
Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates:	278	
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	34	
Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	34	

AGENCY INFORMATION		
Name of agency:	Florida Department of Corrections	
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):		
Physical Address:	501 S Calhoun Street, Tallahassee, Florida - 32399	
Mailing Address:		
Telephone number:	850-488-5021	

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:	
Name:	Mark Inch
Email Address:	Mark.Inch@fdc.myflorida.com
Telephone Number:	850-488-5021

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information			
Name:	Judy Cardinez	Email Address:	Judy.Cardinez@fdc.myflorida.com

AUDIT FINDINGS

Narrative:

The auditor's description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-audit, on-site audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed, discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor's process for the site review.

The PREA Audit of New River Correctional Institution (located in Bradford County, Florida) was conducted by United States Department of Justice Certified PREA Auditor Kenya Golden. This was the first PREA Audit of New River Correctional Institution. The onsite audit occurred from May 1-May 3, 2019.

Approximately four weeks prior to the audit, agency wide and facility specific supplemental documentation was uploaded to the PREA online site. The documentation consisted of agency policies, directives and facility specific procedures responding to policy, samples of supporting documentation and the completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire.

On March 7, 2019, the auditor provided the facility with notification that included the auditor's contact information (mailing address) and the scheduled date of the audit. On March 11, 2019, the information was placed in memorandum format and posted throughout the facility. The auditor received verification (via email) of this from the Agency PREA Coordinator. The auditor did not receive any inmate correspondence.

The PREA Resource Audit Instrument used for Adult Prisons and Jails was provided by the National PREA Resource Center. The Audit Instrument consists of seven sections: A) Pre-Audit Questionnaire; B) the Auditor Compliance Tool; C) Instructions for the PREA Audit Tour; D) the Interview Protocols; E) the Auditor's Summary Report; F) the Process Map; and G) the Checklist of Documentation.

The pre-audit preparations for the auditor included a review of the documentation and materials submitted by New River Correctional Institution (including the Pre-Audit Questionnaire). The documentation reviewed by the auditor included agency policies, procedures, supporting documentation, forms, posters, pamphlets, brochures, handbooks, educational materials, training curriculums, organizational charts, and other PREA related materials the facility provided to demonstrate compliance with each PREA standard.

On May 1, 2019, the onsite audit commenced. At approximately 9:00 a.m., the auditor was greeted by facility staff at the administrative building. Following, a meeting was held with Warden Ronnie Woodall, Assistant Warden Eric Lane, Classification Staff Stephanie Bivins, Classification Staff Ryan Brooks, and Central Office Correctional (PREA) Staff Judy Cardinez-Harris and Christina Counce. Those in attendance welcomed the auditor and were briefed regarding the upcoming audit process.

At the conclusion of the entrance meeting, staff provided the auditor with a master roster that included inmate names and other identifying information (race, housing assignment, etc.). The roster also included the names of specific inmate populations (LGBTI, visually impaired, limited English proficient, etc.). Staff also provided the auditor with rosters that included staff names, designated departments, and positions. The auditor selected the names of the inmates (to be interviewed) and advised staff that

inmate interviews would commence following the onsite tour. The auditor advised staff that she would also select staff names and that staff interviews would take place on the second day of the audit.

At approximately 9:45 a.m., the onsite facility tour began. While touring the facility the auditor observed the notices announcing the dates of the PREA audit. The notices were posted in all the buildings and all of the housing units. The auditor also observed additional PREA signage posted throughout the facility. These posters detailed the agency's zero tolerance policy as related to PREA. The posters also included reporting information. The audit notices and the posters were printed in English and Spanish.

During the onsite facility tour, the auditor communicated with both staff and inmates. Staff members (24) and inmates (41) were informally questioned regarding their knowledge of the PREA standards. Additional areas toured were housing units, segregation unit, medical unit, recreation, laundry, kitchen, inmate dining, program areas, and various work areas.

At the conclusion of the facility tour, the auditor was provided a private office within the classification building to conduct inmate interviews. On the second day of the audit, the auditor was provided a private office within the administration building to conduct staff interviews. On the third day of the audit, the auditor toured the work camp (located approximately two-tenths of a mile from the main unit). While at the work camp, the auditor was provided a private office to interview staff and inmates. Throughout the three days, the auditor conducted (29) randomly selected inmate interviews and (14) targeted. The targeted group consisted of (5) who identified/acknowledged as bi-sexual; (3) who identified as homosexual; (1) who identified as transgender (the transgender inmate did not identity as such until the interview with the PREA auditor-prior to this, he identified as homosexual); (3) with physical disabilities; and (2) who were LEP/Spanish. There were no other inmates from the specific targeted groups assigned to New River Correctional Institution on the dates of the onsite audit.

All of the interviewed inmates participated willingly and appeared to have a good understanding of the PREA standards and the agency's response and requirements regarding the standards, zero tolerance policy and reporting procedures. The inmates expressed a thorough understanding of their right to be free from sexual abuse, harassment, and retaliation. They also knew the appropriate channels in which to report allegations and they were aware of the medical and counseling services available to them.

The formal interviews were conducted utilizing the approved PREA questionnaires from the PREA Resource Center. The random sample of inmates was selected from the general housing population and the segregation unit. The inmates' names were randomly chosen from the bed inventory list provided by staff. At the time of the audit, there were no inmates who reported sexual abuse or who disclosed sexual victimization during risk screening.

The staff interviews consisted of a total of (32) staff members. The staff selected were comprised of: medical staff; mental health staff; classification staff; training department staff; education staff; investigation staff; food service staff; volunteer staff; chaplaincy staff; administrative staff; transport staff; segregation staff; Assistant Warden (who is also the PREA Compliance Manager); Warden; Colonel, Captain; central office staff (PREA Coordinator); and (15) randomly selected security line staff (from both shifts). Mostly all of the staff at New River Correctional Institution were knowledgeable and well versed in regards to their responsibilities when receiving and responding to PREA allegations. However, approximately (5) of the security staff were vague when being interviewed. As a result, the auditor recommended (to Warden Woodall and Assistant Warden Lane) that these staff receive refresher PREA training. In response, Warden Woodall and Assistant Warden Lane stated that they would use this recommendation to provide the refresher training for a vast majority of the security staff.

In addition to the onsite tour and the interviews, the auditor reviewed additional documentation throughout the facility in order to verify that the samples provided was consistent with regular facility practice. Every area of the facility was observed and the auditor observed inmates being supervised throughout the facility. Staff supervision of inmates was closely examined, as was the potential for blind spots and any other potential obstacles.

While conducting the onsite tour, the auditor identified the need for additional security mirrors in specified areas and the need for additional PREA signage in some of the program areas and in the food service building. As a result, these items (security mirrors, cameras, and additional PREA signage) were ordered, installed and pictures were sent (electronically) to the auditor.

At the conclusion of the onsite visit, an exit meeting was held with Warden Woodall, New River Correctional Institution staff, and Central Office staff, to discuss the audit. The auditor explained the process that would follow and inquired as to whether there were any questions. The auditor thanked New River Correctional Institution staff for their effort in preparing for the PREA audit and their diligence in striving to accomplish PREA compliance. The auditor also thanked Warden Woodall and staff for their hospitality and professionalism. During the report writing period the auditors reviewed additional policies, procedures and supplementary documentation that was received.

AUDIT FINDINGS

Facility Characteristics:

The auditor's description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the inmate or resident population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

New River Correctional Institution is located in Bradford County, Florida, which is approximately thirty-five miles from Gainesville Regional Airport. New River Correctional Facility was formerly known as Florida State Prison-East Unit. It initially opened in 1961 (with construction being completed in 1968), but was closed in 2012 due to budget cuts. However, New River Correctional Institution reopened in 2017. The facility (which consists of a work camp located approximately two-tenths of a mile from the main unit) houses adult male inmates. The main unit houses close custody inmates; while the work camp houses minimum, medium, and community custody inmates. The facility design capacity is 1340 and the total population on the first day of the audit was 1321.

Both, the main unit and the work camp are surrounded by facility fences that are equipped with razor wire and electronic monitoring. There is a recreation yard and fifty-four buildings on the property. Nine of the buildings are open bay housing units (the housing units are equipped with video monitoring) and one building is a multiple occupancy cell housing unit. There are also two hundred-twenty segregation cells. The other various buildings consists of program areas, medical areas, laundry, visitation area, food service, inmate canteen areas, and multiple administrative offices.

New River Correctional Institution also offers programs and services to the inmate population. These services include food services, medical care, recreation, religious programs and services, work programs, academic education, betterment programs, visitation, social and mental health services, library, laundry, mail, and telephone access.

Facility Demographics Rated Capacity: 1340 Actual Population: 1323 Average Daily Population for the last 12 months: 1264 Average Length of Stay: 8.2 Years Security/Custody Level: Close Age Range of Inmates: 19-66 Gender: Male

AUDIT FINDINGS

Summary of Audit Findings:

The summary should include the number of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number of standards not met, along with a list of each of the standards in each category. If relevant, provide a summarized description of the corrective action plan, including deficiencies observed, recommendations made, actions taken by the agency, relevant timelines, and methods used by the auditor to reassess compliance. Auditor Note: No standard should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA". A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

Number of standards exceeded:	0	
Number of standards met:	45	
Number of standards not met:	0	
Number of standards exceeded: 0		
Number of standards met: 45		
Number of standards not met: 0		

Standards

Auditor Overall Determination Definitions

- Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)

Auditor Discussion Instructions

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.11 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion Florida Department of Corrections Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response) addresses all elements of this standard. The auditor reviewed the policy and it details the agency's zero tolerance stance in regards to sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and sexual assault and further establishes that forced inmate on inmate sexual harassment, assault, and abuse and all staff on inmate sexual harassment, assault, and abuse are prohibited. Additionally, Policy 602.053 includes definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual assault and sexual harassment of inmates; the sanctions for those found to have participated in the prohibited behaviors, and the investigative responsibilities. During the onsite tour, the auditor observed the hangings of the PREA notifications and various PREA signage in various locations within the facility. However, the auditor suggested for additional PREA signage to be posted in a few of the program areas and in the food service building. During random staff interviews, staff members stated that Policy 602.053 is available to them. Staff also conveyed their understanding of the agency's zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse, sexual assault, and sexual harassment. Supervisory staff and line staff (correctional officers) expressed knowledge of the training received. However, based on their responses, some (five) correctional officers appeared to be vague when being interviewed. As a result, the auditor recommended additional training or a refresher training. The training was conducted and the documentation (including training acknowledgement forms) were sent (electronically) to the auditor. During random inmate interviews, the inmates exhibited knowledge of the agency's zero tolerance policy of sexual abuse, sexual assault, and sexual harassment. The inmates also expressed that they received and understood the PREA training. They conveyed an understanding of their options regarding avenues in which to report PREA allegations and the majority of the inmates interviewed expressed that they felt safe at New River Correctional Institution. Judy Cardinez-Harris serves as the Agency PREA Coordinator. When interviewed by the auditor, Cardinez-Harris stated that she develops, implements, and oversees the efforts of the agency to comply with the PREA Standards. She acknowledged that she has sufficient time in which to facilitate and coordinate the tasks to ensure compliance. Cardinez-Harris communicates, assists, and coordinates with each Facility PREA Manager (including those at the private facilities) to ensure their understanding of and compliance with the PREA Standards. The PREA Coordinator has received PREA training and she also receives annual in-service training. The PREA Coordinator position is listed on the agency organizational chart. During the interview of Mark Inch (Secretary/Agency Head of the Florida Department of Correction), he described how the commitment to providing a safe environment for staff and

inmates to live and work by ensuring adherence and compliance to the PREA Standards. Inch discussed how facility modification (including camera monitoring technology), and staffing are all priority agency wide in relation to the PREA Standards. Inch also described his knowledge of the various PREA requirements, including the investigation process as it relates to PREA

allegations.

At New River Correctional Institution, Assistant Warden Eric Lane serves as PREA Compliance Manager and Classification Officer Stephanie Bivins serves as the secondary PREA staff. Both are responsible for oversight of PREA compliance at the facility level. During the interviews with both, they indicated that they have adequate time to perform the required PREA related duties.

Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, observations of PREA postings, reviews of training curriculum, review of training records, and interviews with the Warden, the PREA Coordinator, the PREA Compliance Manager and random staff and inmates, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.12	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Judy Cardinez-Harris (Agency PREA Coordinator) was interviewed by Kenya Golden, certified PREA auditor. Cardinez-Harris oversees the three private prisons within the Agency. She oversees all the operational practices, contract practices, and day to day operations of each contracted facility. This includes monitoring to ensure that each of the facilities is PREA compliant and following Florida DOC Policies and Procedures. The agency has included in all contracts the requirement to adopt and comply with the PREA standards.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053 and the interview and duties of the PREA Coordinator, New River Correctional Institution meets this standards.

115.13	Supervision and monitoring
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	New River Correctional Institution has an established staffing plan and the facility makes its best effort to comply with the staffing plan. The staffing plan was reviewed by the auditor prior to the audit and during the onsite tour. Also, an interview with the Warden verified that the staffing plan was developed considering the generally acceptable correctional practices; any judicial findings, any findings of inadequacy from federal investigative agencies, any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies, all components of the physical plant, the inmate population, the number and placement of supervisory staff, institutional programs and the shifts they occur on applicable state or local laws or regulations, the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse and any other relevant information. Video monitoring is also utilized throughout the facility to provide security enhancement, as observed by the auditor during the onsite tour.
	New River Correctional Institution utilizes overtime and when applicable, the reassignment of administrative and any other necessary staff. The Warden and the PREA Compliance Manager also acknowledged that any deviations from the staffing plan must be approved and documented. He also acknowledged that the appropriate supervisory staff is aware of this requirement.
	Logbook documentation and interviews of the correctional officers in each housing unit confirmed that intermediate and higher-level staff make unannounced rounds as required within the agency policy. Supervisor signatures show that the rounds were completed at various times and dates. In addition, interviews of the correctional officers, intermediate and higher-level staff also indicated that the officers are prohibited from notifying other staff.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, the staffing plan, housing unit logbooks, observation by the auditor during the onsite tour, and interviews of staff, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.14	Youthful inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	New River Correctional Institution is exempt from this as there are no youthful inmates ever placed in this facility. This is an adult male facility. Thus, adult male inmates only are assigned to New River Correctional Institution.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
Auditor Discussion
As indicated in Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response); 602.036 (Gender Specific Security Positions, Shifts, Posts, and Assignments) and 602.018 (Contraband and Searches of Inmates), cross-gender strip searches are not conducted at New River Correctional Institution. The auditor reviewed the policy and found that it states th staff shall not conduct cross-gender visual body cavity searches except in exigent circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners. This was also supported during the interviews of various staff members (including medical and supervisory staff). The staff interviewed stated that there were zero body cavity searches and/or cross-gender strip searches that met the requirement of exigent circumstances or were performed by staff other than medical practitioners. Additionally, training documentation show that security staff have received the appropriate training in regards to conducting cross-gender pat down searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmates.
According to Policy 602.053, inmates are allowed to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breast, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. Interviews with a selection of random staff and inmates from each housi unit confirmed inmates are able to shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing them as required by the standard. the inmates interviewed, none stated ever experiencing being viewed by staff when showering, performing bodily functions, and changing clothing. Of the staff interviewed, they all conveyed knowledge of this policy and their responsibilities in ensuring policy is practiced.
These policies and procedures also require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate's housing unit. Interviews with staff and inmates confirme female staff announce their presence when entering the inmates' housing units. During the onsite tour, the auditor observed the practice of this announcement in each of the housing units visited.
Based on the review of Procedures 602.053, 602.036, 602.018, search logs, housing unit logs, training records, and staff and inmate interviews, New River Correctional Institution meets this standards.

115.16	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	New River Correctional Institution takes all necessary steps to ensure inmates with Limited English Proficiency have an opportunity to participate in and benefit from the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Procedures 604.101 (Americans with Disabilities Act Provisions for Inmates) and 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response) requires New River Correctional Institution to provide inmates with disabilities (including those who are deaf or hard of hearing, those who are limited English proficient and low level functioning, those who are blind or have low vision, or those who have intellectual, psychiatric, or speech disabilities) an equal opportunity to participate in and benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
	During the facility onsite tour, the auditor observed bilingual posters throughout the facility. Facility staff, closed captioning for deaf/hard of hearing, large print material for those with visual impairments, the reading of materials to inmate(s) by staff for blind/limited mental capacity, and a language line are available to the inmate population, if necessary. Within the past 12 months, there were no instances in which inmate interpreters, readers, or other types of inmate assistants have been used for interpretation. Interviews with staff and inmates confirmed this.
	Based on the review of Procedures 602.053, 604.101, the PREA Translator List (which consists of a list of staff members at various facilities throughout the state), bilingual PREA signage, access to the language line, and interviews of staff and inmates, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.17	Hiring and promotion decisions
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The Warden indicated to that all hiring and approval to allow staff, contractors and volunteers entrance into the agency facilities is completed through Central Office after the background check is completed. Entrance is never approved prior to the completion of the background check.
	Criminal background checks are performed on everyone (staff, contractor, volunteer) entering any Florida facility and that DOC hiring policies prohibit the hiring or promoting of anyone or enlisting the services of any contractor: (1) that engaged in sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution; (2) anyone who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse.
	Florida DOC has a system (LiveScan) that utilizes the fingerprints of each employee. If any employee commits a crime, the agency is electronically notified through this system within an hour. They do require staff to report any misconduct to their supervisor within a specific time frame either electronically or telephonically. Florida DOC also requires the staff to provide a written declaration, of any contact or incident, on an agency provided Incident Form.
	Based on the review of Procedures 602.053, 208.049, Florida Statute 435.03, Florida Statute 435.04, and staff interviews, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.18	Upgrades to facilities and technologies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	During the last three years, the agency has installed a video monitoring system and other monitoring technology. In interviews with the Warden and the PREA Compliance Manager, both stated that the agency considered the technology's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. During the onsite tour, the auditor also observed video monitoring placement and security mirror placement throughout the facility.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, the interviews of the staff, and the auditor's observation during the onsite tour, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.21	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The Department's Inspector General's Office (IG) is responsible for conducting all investigations (both, criminal and administrative) that involve allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Department follows a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions. Procedure is outlined in 108.015. All of the inspectors/investigators were trained by the Moss Group. The auditor conducted a telephone interview with the assigned investigator and he conveyed the IG's office and the investigators role in conducting PREA investigations. Policy 602.053 also outlines the investigation process.
	The Department of Corrections has a current agreement termed as a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) to conduct forensic examinations. SART (a private contract provider) provides a SANE/SAFE nurse who reports to the facility (when authorized/needed) to conduct a forensic examination. There were two (2) forensic exams conducted during the last 12 months at New River Correctional Institution.

115.22	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	In accordance to Procedure 108.003 (Investigative Process) and Procedure 108.015 (Sexual Battery, Sexual Harassment, and Sexual Misconduct Investigations) every allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment alleged to have occurred in any facility within Florida Department of Correction be thoroughly and completely investigated criminally or administratively. As noted earlier in standard 115.21 the Inspector Generals' Office has the legal authority to conduct criminal and administrative investigations within all prison in the State including the private facilities.
	Interviews with administrative staff and the investigator verified that all reports of sexual abuse or harassment are reported to the OIG's office. During the past twelve months, the number of allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment that were received was four (4). During the past twelve months, the number of allegations, resulting in administrative investigation was four (4). During the past twelve months, the number of allegations referred for criminal investigation was zero (0).
	Standard 115.22 requires the agency publish their investigative policy on its website or, if it does not have one, it must make the policy available through other means. The policy can be found on the agency site at: http://www.dc.state.fl.us/PREA/index.html
	Based on the review of Procedures 602.053, 108.003, 108.015, incident statements, case files, agency website, and interviews of staff, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
Auditor Discussion
The auditor reviewed annual in-service and pre-service curriculum provided to the staff at Ner- River Correctional Institution. The curriculum subject matter includes the review of: (1) agency wide zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment; (2) how to fulfill their responsibilities in prevention, detection, reporting, and response to sexual abuse and sexual harassment; (3) inmate's right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment; (4) staff and inmate's right to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment; (5) how to recognize the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement; (6) The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims; (7) how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse; (8) how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates; (9) how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates; (10) and how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities.
Training records, staff interviews and curriculum reviewed indicated that the staff at New River Correctional Institution have received the appropriate training. Staff interviewed at New River Correctional Institution were knowledgeable about the agency's PREA Policy. The majority of the staff described in detail the actions they were trained to take when conducting searches of all inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates. However, some staff were not able to thoroughly convey their knowledge of the training received.
Although many of the staff displayed knowledge of the training, the auditor discussed this with the Warden, the PREA Compliance Manager, the PREA Coordinator, and the Training Manager. The auditor recommended refresher PREA training for staff, specifically regarding Standard 115.31 (a)-9. This training was conducted and the additional training documentation was submitted electronically to the auditor from the PREA Coordinator.
Based on Procedure 602.053, random staff interviews, interview of the training staff, inmate interviews, observation by the auditor during the onsite tour, staff training records, training curriculum, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.32	Volunteer and contractor training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	All contractors and volunteers who have contact with inmates at the New River Correctional Institution receive PREA Orientation training prior to assuming their responsibilities. Orientation includes the agency's policy and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response including zero tolerance. Upon completion of orientation, the volunteer/contractor signs the Acknowledgment Form. Signed forms are maintained at the facility and were reviewed by the auditor.
	There have been 34 volunteers and individual contractors, who have contact with inmates, who have been trained in agency policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse/harassment prevention, detection, and response.
	Of the contract workers and volunteers interviewed, each articulated their understanding of the agency's zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and their responsibility in reporting, how to avoid inappropriate relationship with inmates, prevention, detection and the response of sexual harassment or sexual abuse. The training provided, included the mandatory standard to report all incidents and knowledge or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. New River Correctional Institution has a total of 34 volunteer and individual contractor workers who have contact with inmates and all have received the required PREA training.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, agency training curriculum, interviews of volunteers and contractors, and training acknowledgement forms signed by volunteers and contractors, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.33	Inmate education
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	During the intake process, the agency provides PREA education to all inmates. At reception, inmates are provided a PREA Inmate Handbook, which explains the agency's zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The handbook also provides guidance on how to report such incidents. The auditor reviewed the handbook and found the content to meet the requirements of the standard.
	Also, during the reception process, inmates receive orientation via an approved video presentation that addresses protection issues to include information on preventing and reducing the risk of sexual violence. These materials and pamphlets are primarily in English and Spanish but whenever possible, they are also available in the inmate's native language. PREA education is also available on audiotapes, and CD's for the visually impaired.
	During past twelve months, 1548 inmates were admitted and received comprehensive education on their rights to be free from both sexual abuse/harassment and retaliation for reporting such incidents and on agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents within 30 days of intake.
	Formal and informal inmate interviews conducted during the on-site audit revealed that they were knowledgeable of the agency's zero tolerance policy. Interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, and staff (including intake staff) also revealed this process.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, the PREA Inmate Handbook, PREA pamphlets, interviews of inmates, and interviews of staff, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.34	Specialized training: Investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The departments' investigators receive specialized training in addition to the general education provided to all staff. This training meets the expectations of the standard and provides the recipient with the needed skills to conduct investigations in a confinement setting.
	Specialized training includes techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral.
	The facility maintains documentation that the investigator has received required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations. The training was based on the Moss Group training curriculum. The auditor conducted interviews of the investigator and the PREA Compliance Manager and they both confirmed the training conducted and the training received. The auditor also reviewed the completed training documentation.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, investigator training records, interviews of staff, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.35	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection & Response), Health Services Bulletin 15.03.06 (Post Sexual Battery Medical Plan), and the agency Training Curriculum, outlines how the agency shall ensure that all full and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities are to be trained. All of the health care staff are trained in the following topics: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse; How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
	The facility maintains documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard. The contract provider for medical and mental health is Centurion Health Services. During the onsite audit, the auditor conducted interviews with medical and mental health staff. The interviews revealed that the staff members are knowledgeable of their duties and responsibilities under PREA.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, Health Services Bulletin 15.03.06, training curriculum, and interviews of medical staff, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.41	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The agency screens all inmates for risk of victimization and abusiveness upon arrival. An initial screening is completed by Intake within 72 hours of arrival.
	The screening instrument includes whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability, the age and physical build of the inmate, previously incarceration history, whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent, prior convictions for sex offenses, whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming, past sexual victimization, and the inmate's own perception of vulnerability. Information obtained during the initial assessment and reassessment is placed in the inmate's classification file. Only authorized staff has access to these files.
	During the past 12 months, there were 1548 inmates (whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more) entering the institution (either through intake or transfer) who were screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other inmates within 72 hours of their entry into the facility.
	During the past 12 months, there were 1270 inmates (whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more) entering the institution (either through intake or transfer) who were required to be reassessed for their risk of sexual victimization or of being sexually abusive within 30 days of their arrival at the facility based upon any additional, relevant information received since intake.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, review of the screening instrument, and interviews of staff (including classification staff), and interviews of inmates, interview of the Warden, and interview of the PREA Compliance Manager, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.42	Use of screening information
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response) states that transgender or intersex inmate's housing is considered on a case-by-case basis; placement considers the inmate's health and safety, and whether the placement would present management or security problems; placement is reassessed as needed. The inmate's own view with respect to his or her safety is given consideration. Transgender and intersex inmates are given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates. At the time of the audit, there was (1) inmates classified as transgender, housed at New River Correctional Institution. During the onsite audit, while the auditor was conducting inmate interviews, the inmate identified that he was transgender. Prior to this, the inmate identified as homosexual. As a result, the classification staff met with inmate and the inmate was reassessed and classified as transgender. At the time of the audit, the auditor also interviewed (3) inmate that identified as being gay or homosexual and (5) who identified as being bisexual. All of the aforementioned inmates stated that they had not encountered any related issues at New River Correctional Institution and they also indicated that they felt safe at the facility.
	Additionally, the agency uses information from the risk assessment to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. New River Correctional Institution classification staff is responsible for making all housing, program, and work assignments. The auditor conducted interviews of the classification staff. The staff addressed and confirmed their specific duties as related the housing, programs, and work assignments of the inmates. The classification staff also advised the auditor that all relevant information is shared with the appropriate staff on a need to know basis, but that the information is confidential and held to the highest level of integrity.
	Response), the risk assessment, inmate housing assignment rosters, program assignment rosters, interviews of staff (including classification and supervisory staff), and interviews of inmates, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.43	Protective Custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response) prohibits the placement of inmates at high risk for victimization in segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and there is no available means of separation from the likely abuser (for no longer than 72 hours).
	Based on supervisory staff interviews, interviews with the Warden and the PREA Compliance Manager, when there is a situation of an inmate alleging risk of victimization and needing to be separated from the alleged abuser, segregation would be utilized as a last alternative. During the past twelve months, there were zero (0) inmates held in the segregation housing unit for allegations associated with risk of sexual victimization.
	Based on review of Procedure 602.053, interviews with inmates, a review of segregation logs, and staff interviews, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.51	Inmate reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The agency has procedures allowing for multiple internal and external ways for inmates to report sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation. PREA reporting methods are shared with inmates at intake, during orientation, in the PREA brochure, and on posters throughout the facility. The inmates are also advised that they can report allegations through a third party or send an anonymous report.
	Random inmate interviews confirmed their knowledge of the various ways in which they can report allegations. Also, the interviews with staff confirmed their knowledge of the multiple ways in which inmates may report. Staff and inmates conveyed to the auditor their awareness that inmates may report incidents of sexual abuse, sexual threats or any act of retaliation verbally or in writing, anonymously and from third parties. Staff confirmed verbal reports of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment are promptly documented and submitted to their supervisor.
	Alachua County Victim Services and Rape Crisis Center (an independent agency separate from the Florida Department of Correction) is the private/public office that New River Correctional Institution maintains a MOU with. Inmates may privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment anonymously if requested to a private/public entity not associated with DOC. During the onsite tour, the auditor observed posters on display throughout the facility that detailed the reporting procedures. Also, during the onsite tour, the auditor utilized the telephones (that are used by the inmates) located in the housing units to access the Alachua County Victim Services and Rape Crisis Center.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, the MOU, inmate and staff interviews, and observation of the auditor during the onsite tour, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.52	Exhaustion of administrative remedies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Rule: 33-103.006 (Form DC-1303 Formal Grievance) permits inmate grievances that alleges sexual abuse, to be filed at any time regardless of when it may have occurred. The inmate, a family member, or friend can also file a sexual abuse grievance. The grievance form is available on the agency web page for family and friends of any inmate to file a grievance on his behalf.
	According to policies, there is no time limit on when an inmate may submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse. Inmates can utilize the informal grievance process prior to initiating a formal grievance. However, inmates may skip this step and initiate the process at the formal institutional level for grievances regarding allegations of sexual abuse.
	Third party entities, on behalf of an inmate may also submit grievances. Emergency grievances may be filed if the inmate feels he is at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. Emergency Grievances Alleging Substantial Risk of Imminent Sexual Abuse – corrective action shall be conducted within 48 hours and a response must be provided within 5 calendar days.
	During the interviews conducted with the inmates, they thoroughly conveyed knowledge in regards to submitting inmate grievances. The inmates were also aware of the options by which family members could report. During the staff interviews, the staff also expressed an understanding of the inmates' right to submit grievances.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, Rule 33-103.006, review of form DC-1303, access of the agency website, interviews of inmates, and interviews of staff, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.53	Inmate access to outside confidential support services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The agency has a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to provide advocacy services to inmates who have been sexually victimized, with Alachua County Victim Services and Rape Crisis Center.
	The facility provides inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services through Alachua County Victim Services and Rape Crisis Center. The inmates are provided a mailing address and a 24 hours toll-free hotline number to contact Alachua County Victim Services and Rape Crisis Center. This avenue enables the option of reasonable communication between inmates and the organization, in as confidential a manner as possible.
	Additionally, a sexual abuse awareness brochure is also provided to the inmates. Information on how to report sexual abuse is indicated on the brochure, as well as an additional telephone (TIPS Line) in which the inmates can utilize to report.
	During the inmate interviews, they exhibited a thorough understanding of the advocacy services and how to contact Alachua County Victim Services and Rape Crisis Center.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, the interview of the crisis center representative/staff, interviews conducted with inmates, and interviews conducted with staff, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.54	Third-party reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	FDC Rule 33-103.006 provides guidance to third party reporting, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys and outside advocates, and how to assist inmates in filing grievances alleging sexual abuse. Third parties are also permitted to file such grievances on behalf of inmates.
	Additionally, the information on agency's web site encourages third parties to report allegations. FDC website at: http://www.dc.state.fl.us/PREA reviewed by this auditor, to ensure compliance with 115.54(a) and verified that it contains information required in the standard.
	During the interviews, inmates and staff expressed knowledge and understanding of available third party avenues. Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, Rule 33-103.006, inmate interviews, and staff interviews, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.61	Staff and agency reporting duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	In accordance with Policy 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response), staff are required to immediately report and document sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports. Staff members are prohibited from discussing information related to sexual abuse reports with anyone other than those directly involved. Inmates are provided with information on how to report allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment upon their arrival during the intake process.
	Interviews with random staff all confirmed compliance and all were able to articulate the reporting process and what is required of them when doing so. The staff were aware of the requirement to immediately report all allegations of sexual abuse and/or harassment as well as the requirement to document the report in writing as soon as possible. Staff members were also able to state the process of being a first responder as well and the importance of confidentiality.
	Based on the review of Policy 602.053, review of staff training curriculum and acknowledgement forms, inmate interviews, and staff interviews, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.62	Agency protection duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	In accordance with a review of agency Policy 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response), staff interviews, and all relevant documentation, New River Correctional Institution meets the mandate of this standard. Policy 602.053 addresses this standard and outlines the immediate steps that are to be taken to protect inmates with a substantial risk of sexual abuse. The interviews with the Warden, PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, and supervisors all confirmed when an inmate is subject to substantial risk the inmate will be located and assessed in order for staff to take the appropriate action.
	In the past 12 months, there was no instance where the facility determined that an inmate was subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse.
	Based on the review of Policy 602.053, interviews with staff, and interviews with inmates, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.63	Reporting to other confinement facilities
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	In accordance with Policy 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response), upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the Warden of the facility receiving the allegation shall notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred. This notification shall occur as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours upon becoming aware. The facility in which the allegation of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment occurred is responsible for ensuring the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards. Notification is made electronically by the Warden of one institution to the Warden of the other institution.
	The Warden and the PREA Compliance Manager indicated that during the past 12 months, New River Correctional Institution has received zero (0) allegations that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility.
	Based on the review of Policy 602.053 staff interviews, and all relevant documentation, New River Correctional Institution meets the mandate of this standard.

115.64	Staff first responder duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policy 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response) provides information detailing the duties of staff (both security and non- security) as related to responding to allegations of sexual harassment or acts of sexual abuse. All staff are considered and trained as first responders and are required to follow the instructions and guidelines as outlined.
	This includes the separation of the alleged victim from the alleged abuser, preservation of evidence and the crime scene and to not allow the victim or abuser to take any action that would destroy physical evidence if the alleged incident took place within a time frame that would still allow for collection of that evidence.
	Staff interviews also corroborate that staff members (both security and non-security) are aware of and knowledgeable of their duties as related to the requirements of this standard. The training curriculum and training acknowledgement documentation confirm that staff receive first responder training.
	Based on the review of Policy 602.053, staff interviews, training curriculum, and training acknowledgement forms, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.65	Coordinated response
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	New River Correctional Institution's PREA Coordinated Response Plan was reviewed and the plan coordinates actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership.
	The Coordinated Response Plan ensures all process steps are accomplished and notifications are made. The plan also addresses, SAFE/SANE services, third party and anonymous reports, report to the Inspector General's Office, victim advocates, and all appropriate notifications.
	Interviews of the Warden, the PREA Compliance Manager, and other specialized staff supported their knowledge of this plan and of their related duties and responsibilities.
	Based on the review of Policy 602.053, review of the Coordinated Response Plan, and the interviews of applicable staff, New River Correctional Institution meets this standards.

115.66	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The most current Collective Bargaining Agreement, dated October 2015, does not limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted.
	Based on the review of the Collective Bargaining Agreement, and interview of the PREA Compliance Manager, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.67	Agency protection against retaliation
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policy 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response) states that inmates and/or staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment shall be monitored for retaliation for a minimum of 90 days. The policy describes specific guidelines to prohibit possible retaliation against any inmate or staff member who reported sexual abuse or sexual harassment.
	Staff interviews support knowledge of and adherence to the requirements of this standard.
	At New River Correctional Institution, Classification Officer Stephanie Bivins is charged with monitoring for possible staff retaliation. Bivins was interviewed and indicated that there were no reported incidents of retaliation during the past 12 months.
	Based on the review of Policy 602.053, the review of relevant documentation, and interview of staff, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.68	Post-allegation protective custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response) prohibits the placement of inmates who alleged to have suffered sexual abuse in segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and there is no available means of separation from the likely abuser (for no longer than 72 hours). Also, inmates that are placed in protective custody on this basis shall have access to programs privileges, education, and work opportunities as stipulated in PREA Standard 115.43. If an inmate is placed in involuntary protective custody; inmate status is then reviewed every thirty days.
	During the past 12 months, there was one (1) inmate who alleged sexual abuse who were held in involuntary segregation. However, the Warden, the PREA Compliance Manager, and confinement staff all confirmed that placement in segregation is the final option when an inmate has alleged to have suffered sexual abuse and there is a need for separation.
	Based on the review of Policy 602.053, interviews of the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, and interviews of the confinement staff, New River Correctional Facility meets this standard.

115.71	Criminal and administrative agency investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	In accordance with Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response), the Florida Department of Corrections through the Office of Inspector General conducts investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This includes third party and anonymous reports. The investigations are conducted promptly, thoroughly, and objectively for all allegations.
	A review of the training records confirm that the investigators received the required special training. Also, based on the interviews of the investigator (telephone interview), the PREA Compliance Manager, and the Warden, the appropriate action are being taken to ensure that the requirements of this standard are being followed and fulfilled. Also, based on the interviews, the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness is not determined based on the person's status (as inmate or staff), but is determined on an individual basis.
	Investigators are responsible for gathering and preserving direct and circumstantial evidence. The gathering of evidence includes any available physical and DNA evidence and any electronic monitoring evidence. The investigator interviews alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and all applicable witnesses. In cases where the preponderance of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, the Office of the Inspector General conducts subsequent interviews only after consulting with the state attorney. Substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal is referred for prosecution.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, training requirements for investigators, training records, review of investigation files, interviews of the Investigator, Warden, and the PREA Compliance Manager, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.72	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	In accordance with Policy 108.015 (Sexual Battery, Sexual Harassment, and Sexual Misconduct Investigations), the agency imposes a standard of a preponderance of evidence of proof when determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. This was supported in the interview of the investigator.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, the review of Policy 108.015, and the staff interviews, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.73	Reporting to inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	As outlined in Policy 108.015 (Sexual Battery, Sexual Harassment, and Sexual Misconduct Investigations), the inmate making the allegation is required to be notified where the case made against another inmate results in an indictment and trial. He must also be notified of the outcome of this trial as well. Policy also requires that following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, the facility informs the inmate (unless the investigation has determined that the allegation was unfounded) whenever the employee is no longer assigned on his unit and no longer employed in the facility. The inmate is also notified if the employee was indicted or charged and goes to trial.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, the review of Policy 108.015, and interviews of staff, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.76	Disciplinary sanctions for staff
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Florida Department of Corrections Employee Handbook (page 51) informs every employee that staff will be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Florida State Statute 944.35 Authorized Use of Force Malicious Battery and Sexual Misconduct Prohibited: Reporting required; Penalties (b1) defines sexual misconduct while 4c of the statute requires the dismissal of the employee who engages in sexual assault/abuse with an inmate. Section 4c requires the misconduct be reported to the Office of the Inspector General (OIG). All cases, regardless of whether the staff member resigned, are reported to law enforcement (OIG).
	In interviews with the Warden and the PREA Compliance Manager, they confirmed there have been no reported cases involving staff at New River Correctional Institution.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, the Employee Handbook, Florida State Statute 944.35, interview of the Warden, and interview of the PREA Compliance Manager, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.77	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response) prohibits contractors or volunteers who engaged in sexual abuse to have contact with inmates and require they be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. Interviews of the Warden and the PREA Compliance Manager confirmed that such allegations are reported to the Inspector General's Office and investigated as outlined in policy. Review of facility Volunteer Training acknowledgement forms verified that volunteers received training and were knowledgeable of policies and procedures.
	In the past twelve months, there have been no contractors and/or volunteers reported to law enforcement for engaging in sexual abuse of inmates.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, training acknowledgement forms, and staff interviews, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.78	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Rules 33-601.301 and 33-601.314 (Inmate Discipline) detail administrative sanctions for inmates guilty of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. New River Correctional Institution inmates are subject to disciplinary sanctions following a finding that the inmate engaged in inmate on inmate sexual abuse or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate on inmate sexual abuse. Staff on inmate sexual activity will be subject to disciplinary action and/or criminal prosecution for the staff member.
	A report of sexual abuse that is made in good faith, based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, shall not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation.
	The sanctions are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories, and consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his behavior.
	Special considerations are required for inmates charged with or suspected of a disciplinary infraction who are developmentally disabled or mentally ill to determine if the disability or illness contributed to the behavior when determining what type of sanction should be imposed. Interviews of staff, interviews of inmates, and the review of disciplinary documentation support this practice.
	In the past 12 months, there have been zero (0) administrative findings of inmate on inmate sexual abuse that have occurred at New River Correctional Institution. In the past 12 months, there have been no criminal findings of guilt for inmate on inmate sexual abuse that occurred at New River Correctional Institution.
	Based on the review of Rules 33-601.301 and 33-601.314, the review of Procedure 602.053, the interviews of inmates, and the interviews of staff, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.81	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	In accordance to Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response), all inmates are screened for risk of victimization and abusiveness upon arrival. At the initial intake screening, if the inmate has disclosed prior sexual victimization and/or previously perpetrated sexual abuse, the inmate is referred for medical and mental health services. Routine medical and mental health referrals will be seen within 14 days.
	All information about sexual victimization or abusiveness is strictly limited to medical and mental health staff and other staff as needed. However, medical screening information is shared with appropriate staff, as needed, to make housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments. Informed consents are obtained before reporting prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting.
	Inmate interviews confirmed that medical and mental health services are available as needed. Interviews with medical staff indicate that they are knowledgeable of their duties and responsibilities under PREA and know how to properly respond to or report an allegation of sexual abuse or assault.
	In the past 12 months, at New River Correctional Institution, there were zero (0) inmates who disclosed prior victimization during screening and offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner. There were also zero (0) inmates who disclosed previously perpetrated sexual abuse.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, review of the inmate request/staff referral log, interviews of inmates, and interviews of medical staff, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.82	Access to emergency medical and mental health services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response) require that inmates who are victims of sexual abuse be afforded immediate access to medical services, which includes forensic medical examinations and mental health services. According to policy, these services are provided at no cost to the inmates. Also, Inmate victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. During the onsite audit, the auditor interviewed the PREA Compliance Manager, and the medical and mental health staff. The staff conveyed knowledge of the policy and of their duties and responsibilities in adhering to the policy.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, the review of the Staff Referral Form, the review of the Nursing Log, interviews of the PREA Compliance Manager, and the medical and mental health staff, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.83	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response) requires that medical and mental health treatment including evaluations, on-going care, and treatment to all inmates that have been identified as victims and/or abusers are provided at no cost to the inmates and are consistent with the community level of care. In addition, inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. Interviews with medical and medical health staff, verified agency's process.
	During the interviews with medical and mental health staff, they indicated that the evaluation and treatment of anyone victimized includes, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in other facilities, or their release from custody. They also stated that these treatment services are provided to victims without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053 and the interviews of medical and mental health staff, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.86	Sexual abuse incident reviews
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response) requires the institution to conduct a sexual abuse or sexual battery incident review within thirty (30) days of the conclusion of the investigation by completing the "Sexual Abuse Incident Review/Facility Investigation Summary," DC6-2076.
	The incident review team at New River Correctional Institution obtains input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners when needed. They are not responsible for conducting a review of allegations that are unfounded. The review team gets input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners. This process was confirmed in interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, and other related staff.
	In the past 12 months, there have been zero (0) criminal and / or administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed and reviewed at New River Correctional Institution, excluding only "unfounded" incidents.
	In the past 12 months, there have been zero (0) criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at New River Correctional Institution that were followed by a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days, excluding only "unfounded" incidents.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, and staff interviews, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.87	Data collection
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
uniform data for every incident of s Correctional Institution using a stan collected from all available incident	Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response) requires collection of uniform data for every incident of sexual abuse alleged to have occurring at New River Correctional Institution using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The data is collected from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews, and the Management Information Notification System (MINS).
	Data from this facility is sent to the PREA Coordinator where it is aggregated annually from all of the agency facilities including the agency private facilities. All available incident- based documents, including reports, investigation files, shall be maintained, reviewed, and collected as needed to complete the SSV.
	Based on the review of Procedure 602.053, the review of the 2017 Survey of Sexual Victimization, and the interview of the PREA Compliance Coordinator, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.88	Data review for corrective action
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response) requires that Florida Department of Corrections review data collected from each of its facilities, including privates, in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of their sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, procedures, and training.
	It also requires that the agency identify problematic areas, taking corrective action and prepare an annual report of findings and corrective actions for each facility. The responsibility to collect, aggregate and analyze this data is the responsibility of the PREA Coordinator. During her interview she detailed her responsibilities in collecting and analyzing data and trends and producing the annual report.
	Based on the review of Policy 602.053, and interview of the PREA Coordinator, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.89	Data storage, publication, and destruction
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Procedure 602.053 (Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response) requires investigative records, including but not limited to, criminal investigations, administrative investigations, medical evaluations and treatments, recommendations of post-release treatment, and counseling's associated with allegations of sexual abuse or sexual battery shall be retained by the agency for ten (10) years after the date of the initial collection or for the incarceration period of the victim or employment of the suspect or subject, plus five (5) years, whichever is longer.
	Compliance Managers are responsible for uploading all related documents to the facility's electronic retention file once a PREA case is completed.
	The PREA Compliance Manager confirmed this policy requirement and practice during her interview. PREA Reports and documentation is available on the agency web page http://www.dc.state.fl.us/oth/prea/index.html

115.401	Frequency and scope of audits
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
Auditor Discussion	
	The auditor was given access to and the opportunity to tour and visit all areas of the facility. A private office space was provided for use to conduct interviews with inmates and staff. All of the interviews were conducted in privacy.
	The inmates were permitted to send sealed, confidential information or correspondence to the auditor. However, the auditor did not receive any correspondence from the inmate population.

115.403	Audit contents and findings
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The agency publishes completed audit reports on the agency web site as required by the standard. It has provided these documents since 2014 and continues to post them within 2 weeks of the documents being provided to them by the auditor. Based on the auditor's review of the agency's website and the applicable reports, New River Correctional Institution meets this standard.

115.11 (a)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator		
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes	
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes	

115.11 (b)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator		
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes	
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes	
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes	

115.11 (c)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes

115.12 (a)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	yes

115.12 (b)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates	
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	yes

115.13 (a)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
	46	

In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	yes
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes

115.13 (b)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	yes

115.13 (c)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes

115.13 (d)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts?	yes
	Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility?	yes

115.14 (a)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na

115.14 (b)	Youthful inmates	
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na

115.14 (c)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na

115.15 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes

115.15 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na

115.15 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross- gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)?	na

115.15 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes

115.15 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status?	yes
	If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	yes

115.15 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross- gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes

115.16 (a)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes

Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision?	yes
Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities?	yes
Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision?	yes

115.16 (b)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes

115.16 (c)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?	yes

115.17 (a)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes

115.17 (b)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates?	yes

115.17 (c)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes

115.17 (d)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes

115.17 (e)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes

115.17 (f)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes

115.17 (g)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes

115.17 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes

115.18 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes

115.18 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes

115.21 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes

115.21 (b)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes

115.21 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes

115.21 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes

115.21 (e)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes

115.21 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	na

115.21 (h)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	yes

115.22 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes

115.22 (b)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes

115.22 (c)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes

115.31 (a)	Employee training	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes

115.31 (b)	Employee training	
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility?	yes
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa?	yes

115.31 (c)	Employee training	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes

115.31 (d)	Employee training	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes

115.32 (a)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes

115.32 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)?	yes

115.32 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes

115.33 (a)	Inmate education	
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes

115.33 (b)	Inmate education	
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes

115.33 (c)	Inmate education	
	Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)?	yes
	Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes

115.33 (d)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills?	yes

115.33 (e)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions?	yes

115.33 (f)	Inmate education	
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?	yes

115.34 (a)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes

115.34 (b)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes

115.34 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes

115.35 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes

115.35 (b)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	na

115.35 (c)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes

115.35 (d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	yes

115.41 (a)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes

115.41 (b)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?	yes

115.41 (c)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?	yes

115.41 (d)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes?	yes

115.41 (e)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	yes

115.41 (f)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?	yes

115.41 (g)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes

115.41 (h)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs $(d)(1)$, $(d)(7)$, $(d)(8)$, or $(d)(9)$ of this section?	yes

115.41 (i)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates?	yes

115.42 (a)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?	yes

115.42 (b)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate?	yes

115.42 (c)	Use of screening information	
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case- by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems?	yes

115.42 (d)	Use of screening information	
	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate?	yes

115.42 (e)	Use of screening information	
	Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	yes

115.42 (f)	Use of screening information	
	Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates?	yes

115.42 (g)	Use of screening information	
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes

115.43 (a)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers?	yes
	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?	yes

115.43 (b)	Protective Custody	
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes

115.43 (c)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?	yes
	Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days?	yes

115.43 (d)	Protective Custody	
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety?	yes
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged?	yes

115.43 (e)	Protective Custody	
	In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes

115.51 (a)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes

115.51 (b)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request?	yes
	Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	yes

115.51 (c)	Inmate reporting	
	Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes

115.51 (d)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates?	yes

115.52 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	no

115.52 (b)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.52 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.52 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.52 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.52 (f)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.).	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.52 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.53 (a)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	yes
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes

115.53 (b)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes

115.53 (c)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes

115.54 (a)	Third-party reporting	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?	yes

115.61 (a)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	yes

115.61 (b)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes

115.61 (c)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes

115.61 (d)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes

115.61 (e)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes

115.62 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?	yes

115.63 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes

115.63 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes

115.63 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes

115.63 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes

115.64 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes

115.64 (b)	Staff first responder duties	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes

115.65 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes

115.66 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes

115.67 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes

115.67 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes

115.67 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes

115.67 (d)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes

115.67 (e)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes

115.68 (a)	Post-allegation protective custody	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43?	yes

115.71 (a)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes

115.71 (b)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34?	yes

115.71 (c)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes

115.71 (d)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes

115.71 (e)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes

115.71 (f)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes

115.71 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes

115.71 (h)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes

115.71 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes

115.71 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes

115.71 (l)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	na

115.72 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes

115.73 (a)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes

115.73 (b)	Reporting to inmates	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	na

115.73 (c)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes

115.73 (d)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes

115.73 (e)	Reporting to inmates	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes

115.76 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes

115.76 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes

115.76 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes

115.76 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies(unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes

115.77 (a)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes

115.77 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates?	yes

115.78 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on- inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate- on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes

115.78 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories?	yes

115.78 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes

115.78 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?	yes

115.78 (e)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes

115.78 (f)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	yes

115.78 (g)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)	yes

115.81 (a)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening?	yes

115.81 (b)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)	yes

115.81 (c)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening?	yes

115.81 (d)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes

115.81 (e)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18?	yes

115.82 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes

115.82 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62?	yes
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes

115.82 (c)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	yes

115.82 (d)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes

115.83 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes

115.83 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes

115.83 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes

115.83 (d)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na

115.83 (e)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na

115.83 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes

115.83 (g)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes

115.83 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers		
	If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)	yes	

115.86 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes

115.86 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes

115.86 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes

115.86 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes

115.86 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes

115.87 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes

115.87 (b)	Data collection	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes

115.87 (c)	Data collection	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes

115.87 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes

115.87 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)	yes

115.87 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	yes

115.88 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes

115.88 (b)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes

115.88 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes

115.88 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes

115.89 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?	yes

115.89 (b)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes

115.89 (c)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes

115.89 (d)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes

115.401 (a)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes

115.401 (b)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	no
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	yes

115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes

115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes

115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes

115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes

115.403 (f)	Audit contents and findings	
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. In the case of single facility agencies, the auditor shall ensure that the facility's last audit report was published. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	yes